Law Enforcement (FINAL)

| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # | APP # 700600 |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|

ITEM 1. Proposed Project

Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Officers and Forest Protection Officers will contact forest users engaged in off highway vehicle use, educate them of off highway regulations, protection and public safety. Enforcement action will be taken on violators as deemed appropriate. Areas that require attention include the snow mobile staging area and trails within the Shasta McCloud Management Unit, the Chappie Shasta OHV area on the West side of Shasta Dam, and along the lake shores of Shasta and Trinity Lakes where OHV use is increasing in area of high visitor use where natural and cultural resources are impacted. Additional areas are National Forest lands around the community of Weaverville where OHV activity has had a negative impact on the natural resource and National Forest lands within the South Fork Management Unit where OHV activity during hunting season is an impact on public safety.,

ITEM 2. Project Coverage

There are 2.1 million acres within the Shasta-Trinity National Forest. It includes the Shasta and Trinity Units of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area, five wilderness areas and Mt. Shasta at 14, 162 feet. Some areas are very remote as those within the Trinity Alps Wilderness and the Yolla Bolla Ranger District. The urban interface is surround the Redding metropolitan area with a population in excess of 100,000.

ITEM 3. Describe the frequency of the patrols

Law Enforcement Officers are scheduled such that there is normally 7 day a week coverage on the forest. Snow prohibits patrol in some areas throughout the winter and early spring. Areas of frequent OHV activity such as the lake shore of Shasta Lake and the snow mobile areas of the Shasta McCloud Management Unit are patrolled almost daily. During hunting season, there nearly daily patrols in the South Fork Management Unit.

ITEM 4. Deployment of Personnel

Five Law Enforcement Officers, one Patrol Captain and one Forest Protection Officer will be deployed for the purpose of the proposed project.

Version # Page: 1 of 8

LE Certification

| | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # | APP # 700600 | | |
|----|--|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Law Enforcement - Page 1 | | | | |
| 1. | Identify areas with high priority law enf environmental habitats, including wilde | | - | | and sensitive |
| | The Shasta and Trinity Lake shores hat Mt. Shasta Wilderness has a high pote damage due to OHV use. The roads at OHV use during hunting season result used and popular OHV riding area on the state of the shade of t | ential for OHV incursion nd trails within the Sout ing in a threat to public | . The Weaver Basin has ha th Fork Management Unit a | ad a history our are heavily in | of resource |
| 2. | Describe how the proposed Project rel highway access to non-motorized recre | | | | |
| | The proposed Project will enable Law area and popular areas around Shasta the use is discouraged due to degreda | a and Trinity Lakes. Offi | | - | |
| 3. | Describe the Applicant's formal or info | rmal cooperation with o | ther law enforcement agen | cies: | |
| | Forest Service Law Enforcement Office Departments, Bureau of Land Manage education and enforcement of OHV received. | ement Rangers and Cali | - | - | - |
| | Law Enforcement - Page 2 | | | | |
| 4. | Does the Applicant recover a portion of with privately sponsored OHV events with privately sponsored OHV events with privately sponsored OHV events with privately sponsored OHV. | | | C Yes | € No |
| | Explain | | | | |
| | No events are planned. | | | | |
| 5. | The Applicant agrees to implement a pon safety programs available in the are select Yes or No) | | | Yes | C No |
| 6. | Describe the Applicant's OHV law enfo personnel to address OHV safety and | 0. 0 | • | ng program | educates |
| | Refreshers are held annually to keep L Officers are periodically updated throughout the year. | | | | |
| | Law Enforcement - Page 3 | | | | |
| 7. | Is the proposed project in accordance Division Strategic Plan? (Please selection) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ns and the OHMVR | Yes | C No |
| 8. | LOCAL AGENCIES ONLY - Describe to land: | the Applicant's policies | and/or agreements regard | ing enforcen | nent on federa |
| 9. | COUNTIES ONLY - Describe how the | OHV in-lieu of tax fund | s are being used and whet | her the use (| of these fees |

Version # Page: 2 of 8

complements the Applicant's project:

Law Enforcement - Page 4

| 10. | APPLICANTS WHO MANAGE OHV RECREATION FACILITIES - Describe how your organization is meeting its |
|-----|---|
| | operation and maintenance needs: |

Law Enforcement does not manage OHV Recreation Facilities.

| 11. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of OHVs and the other provision of | 0 | Yes | C | No |
|---|---|-----|---|----|
| Division 16.5 commencing with Section 38000 of the vehicle code and to enforce other | | | | |
| applicable laws regarding the operation of OHVs? (Please select Yes or No) | | | | |

Version # Page: 3 of 8

Project Cost Estimate

| | | FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: | Version # _ | | | APP # | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|-------------|---------|--------|--|---|-----------|
| APPLI | CANT NAME : | USFS - Shasta-Trinity NF - Patrol District | | | | | | |
| PROJI | ECT TITLE : | Law Enforcement (FINAL) | | | | PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) | | |
| PROJI | ECT TYPE : | | Development | | | tion & Safety | Ground Ope | rations |
| | | Law Enforcement | Planning | | Restor | ation | | |
| Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project encompasses patrol and enforcement of the Shasta-Trinity National Forest for violations of off highway regulations. Law Enforcement Project Enforcement Projec | | | | | | y regulations, protections snow mobile staging along the lake shore acted. Additional area | tion and public ng area and trails res of Shasta and as are National | |
| | Line Item | | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total |
| DIREC | T EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| Progra | am Expenses | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Staff | | | | | | | |
| | Other-Shasta Lake F | POs | 16.000 | 176.000 | DAY | 2,816.00 | 0.00 | 2,816.00 |
| | Other-Mt Shasta FPC |)s | 15.000 | 254.070 | DAY | 3,811.00 | 0.00 | 3,811.00 |
| | Law Enforcement Off | icers | 212.000 | 37.450 | HRS | 7,939.00 | 0.00 | 7,939.00 |
| | Other-Shasta Lake F | POs | 4.000 | 176.000 | DAY | 0.00 | 704.00 | 704.00 |
| | Law Enforcement Off | icers | 110.000 | 41.100 | HRS | 0.00 | 4,521.00 | 4,521.00 |
| | Total for Staff | | | | | 14,566.00 | 5,225.00 | 19,791.00 |
| 2 | Contracts | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Materials / Supplies | | | | | | | |

Version # Page: 4 of 8

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Shasta-Trinity NF - Patrol District Application: Law Enforcement (FINAL)

| | Line Item | Qty | Rate | UOM | Grant Request | Match | Total | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------|-----|---------------|----------|-----------|--|
| 4 | Equipment Use Expenses | | | | | | | |
| | Vehicle Operations and Maintenance | 700.000 | 0.620 | MI | 434.00 | 0.00 | 434.00 | |
| 5 | Equipment Purchases | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Others | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Indirect Costs | | | | | | | |
| Total I | Program Expenses | | | | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | |
| TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES | | | | | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | |
| TOTAL | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | | | | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | |

Version # Page: 5 of 8

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Shasta-Trinity NF - Patrol District Application: Law Enforcement (FINAL)

| | Line Item | Grant Request | Match | Total | Narrative | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| DIRE | RECT EXPENSES | | | | | | | | |
| Prog | Program Expenses | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Staff | 14,566.00 | 5,225.00 | 19,791.00 | | | | | |
| 2 | Contracts | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 3 | Materials / Supplies | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 4 | Equipment Use Expenses | 434.00 | 0.00 | 434.00 | | | | | |
| 5 | Equipment Purchases | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 6 | Others | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 7 | Indirect Costs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Total Program Expenses | | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | | | | | |
| тот | AL DIRECT EXPENSES | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | | | | | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | | 15,000.00 | 5,225.00 | 20,225.00 | | | | | |

Version # Page: 6 of 8

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)

| | FOR OFF | ICE USE ONLY: | Version # | APP # 700600 | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|------|-----------|-------|----------|
| ı | TEM 1 and ITEM 2 | | | | | | | |
| | ITEM 1 | | | | | | | |
| a. | ITEM 1 - Has a CEQ (Please select Yes or | | nation (NOD) been | filed for the Project? | C | Yes | 0 | No |
| | ITEM 2 | | | | | | | |
| b. | · · | n prior to implementi | ng the remaining P | CEQA and/or NEPA roject Deliverables (i.e., is it ease select Yes or No) | C | Yes | • | No |
| ı | TEM 3 - Project unde | r CEQA Guidelines | Section 15378 | | | | | |
| C. | ITEM 3 - Are the prop (Please select Yes or | | oject" under CEQA | Guidelines Section 15378? | C | Yes | • | No |
| d. | and ensure public saf | ety. These activities | would not cause a | support to enforce OHV laws ny physical impacts on the ease select Yes or No) | 6 | Yes | C | No |
| e. | Other. Explain why po | = | | physical impacts on the envi | ronn | nent and | are | thus not |
| ı | TEM 4 - Impact of thi | s Project on Wetlar | nds | | | | | |
| ı | TEM 5 - Cumulative I | mpacts of this Proj | ect | | | | | |
| ı | TEM 6 - Soil Impacts | | | | | | | |
| ı | TEM 7 - Damage to S | cenic Resources | | | | | | |
| ı | TEM 8 - Hazardous M | laterials | | | | | | |
| | | | | ny list compiled pursuant to ous materials)? (Please | С | Yes | C | No |
| | If YES, describe the I taken to minimize or a | | d relative to the Pro | oject site, the level of hazard | and | the meas | sure | s to be |
| ı | TEM 9 - Potential for | Adverse Impacts to | o Historical or Cu | tural Resources | | | | |
| | Would the proposed historical or cultural re | | | al adverse impacts to | C | Yes | С | No |
| | Discuss the potential resources. | for the proposed Pro | oject to have any s | ubstantial adverse impacts to | hist | orical or | cultı | ıral |

Version # Page: 7 of 8

ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts

CEQA/NEPA Attachment

Version # Page: 8 of 8